



School Redesign

Phase I Criteria Recommendation

October 13, 2008

Dr. Nancy J. McGinley
Superintendent of Schools

School Redesign Initiative: A Plan for Effectiveness and Efficiency



School Redesign Schedule

September 8	Present Selection Criteria and Community Engagement Plan to County Board
September 15 – October 1	Phase I – Public Engagement, Community Forums on Criteria/Factors (Receive Input from all districts)
September 29	Meeting with All Constituent Board Members at 75 Calhoun Street at 4:00 p.m. (Receive Input)
October 13	Staff Reported on Final Criteria Board Approved Criteria



Results of Phase I Public Engagement Plan

Community Outreach

Received input from over
900 district partners.

Community Outreach

September 15 – October 1	Conducted seven (7) public engagement meetings Burke, St. Johns, Baptist Hill, N. Charleston ES, McClellanville, Wando, & West Ashley
September 29	Criteria presented and discussed during the Constituent Board Workshop
Web	Meeting schedule and forms posted on CCSD website in both English and Spanish
Post & Courier	Advertised meeting schedule
CCSD Parentlink	Sent meeting schedule and callers directed to CCSD website to provide feedback
Other	CAE Advisory Group, PTA, and SIC

Goals of School Redesign

1. Focusing all CCSD resources on achieving instructional excellence.
2. Establishing ideal school designs, locations, and capacities.
3. Replicating successful models.

Role of County Board

June, 2008 - County board directed staff to develop a plan for school consolidation

January, 2009 – County board will make final decisions about school changes

Modified Criteria

Based on
community input



Criteria Recommendation

- Based on County Board directive, *Per Pupil Cost* and *Fidelity of Mission (Magnets)* viewed as essential criteria
- Remaining criteria
 - ✓ Support goals of the process
 - ✓ 80% or more of respondents rated as Important or Very Important
- Not included: Building Use, Minimal School Size, and Transfers Out

Criteria Recommendation

Three (3) Broad Categories

I. Educational Quality	4 Criteria <i>Maximum of 16 points</i>
II. Demographic Factors	4 Criteria <i>Maximum of 16 points</i>
III. Facility Conditions	4 Criteria <i>Maximum of 16 points</i>
Total Criteria = 12	Maximum number of points = 48

Criteria Recommendation

I. Educational Quality

1. Adequate Yearly Progress	Scale of 1-4 (max. of 4 points)
2. Absolute Rating	Scale of 1-4 (max. of 4 points)
3. Improvement Rating	Scale of 1-4 (max. of 4 points)
4. Program Standards/ Fidelity of Mission	Scale of 1-4 (max. of 4 points)
<i>Total Data Points = 4</i>	<i>Maximum Number of Points = 16</i>

Criteria Recommendation

II. Demographics

5. Enrollment Decline	Scale of 1-4 (max. of 4 points)
6. Enrollment vs. Building Capacity	Scale of 1-4 (max. of 4 points)
7. Population Trends	Scale of 1-4 (max. of 4 points)
8. Per Pupil Cost	Scale of 1-4 (max. of 4 points)
<i>Total Data Points = 4</i>	<i>Maximum Number of Points = 16</i>

Criteria Recommendation

III. Facility Conditions

9. Building Condition	Scale of 1-4 (max. of 4 points)
10. Campus Size	Scale of 1-4 (max. of 4 points)
11. Time and Miles to Nearest School	Scale of 1-4 (max. of 4 points)
12. Available Space in Nearest School	Scale of 1-4 (max. of 4 points)
<i>Total Data Points = 4</i>	<i>Maximum Number of Points = 16</i>

Criteria Recommendation Summary

I. Educational Quality (Max of 16 points)	1. Adequate Yearly Progress 2. Absolute Rating 3. Improvement Rating 4. Program Standards/Fidelity of Mission
II. Demographic Factors (Max of 16 points)	5. Enrollment Decline 6. Enrollment vs. Building Capacity 7. Population Trends 8. Per Pupil Cost
III. Facility Conditions (Max of 16 points)	9. Building Condition 10. Campus Size 11. Time and Miles to Nearest School 12. Available Space in Nearest School
Total Criteria = 12	Maximum number of points = 48

Next Steps

October 14 – November 23	Staff Formulates Proposed Recommendations
November 24	Informational Briefing to Board – Proposed Recommendations for School Restructuring (Schools or Zones)
December 9 – December 18	Phase II – Public Engagement, Conduct Community Forums Regarding Future Options
January 12, 2009	Present Final Recommendations to County Board